

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,122 09/11/2003		David J. Ecker	IBIS0061-100/DIBIS-0002US	7830
58057 7	7590 09/19/2006	EXAMINER		NER
	CARROLL LLP		FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN	
101 HOWARD STREET SUITE 350 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
		DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/660,122		ECKER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
-	Jeffrey Fredman	1637	

zororo uro r ming or un r ippour zoror	Examiner	Altollit		
	Jeffrey Fredman	1637		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring the final Office in	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of the appeal. Since	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	116 and 41.33(a)).		(PTOI -324).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		impliant Amendment	(I TOL-324).	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 23-27,30-34 and 44-55. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and	
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:	
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).			
13. Other:		1/		
		10		
		Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner		
		Art Unit: 1637	1.	
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office		9/1/5	:14	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Part of Paper No. 20060915

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of "four or more members" into claim 30 would certainly require further search and consideration. However, more importantly in this case, the limitation may represent new matter. Applicant points to page 55, lines 1-6 to support this element. That page mostly shows primer pairs, shows more than four primer pairs, and provides no support for the number "4". The previous page, page 54, at lines 27-28 notes "Four primer pairs were selected from a group of primers designed to yield broad coverage across the majority of the adenoviral strain types (Table 9" This does not support a base composition database of four members since the implication from the specification is that all 50+ serotypes of adenovirus will be amplified. Therefore, the amendment appears to not only require further search and consideration, but also to contain new matter..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments rely on the amendment and declaration. Because these are not entered, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive..